



7th Framework Programme

FP7-SEC-2012.4.3-1

**Next Generation Damage and Post-Crisis Needs Assessment Tool for
Reconstruction and Recovery Planning
Capability Project**

Evaluation of the RECONASS System

Deliverable No.	D7.3		
Workpackage No.	WP7	Workpackage Title	System Evaluation
Author(s)	Michael Markus (THW), Annika Nischke (THW), Klaus-Dieter Büttgen (THW)		
Status	Final		
Version No.	V1.00		
File Name	"RECONASS_D7.3_Evaluation_of_the_RECONASS_System_V1.00"		
Delivery Date	31 05 2017		
Project First Start and Duration	Dec. 1, 2013; 42 months		



"This project has received funding from the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme for research, technological development and demonstration under grant agreement no [312718]"

DOCUMENT CONTROL PAGE

Title	Evaluation of the RECONASS System	
Authors	Name	Partner
	Michael Markus	THW
	AnniKa Nitschke	THW
	Klaus-Dieter Büttgen	THW
Contributors	Name	Partner
	Evangelos Sdongos	ICCS
	Wayne Kimberlin	GS
Peer Reviewers	Name	Partner
	Dimitrios Bairaktaris	DBA
	Norman Kerle	ITC
	Hassan Shirvani	ARU
Format	Text-MS Word	
Language	en-UK	
Work Package	WP7	
Deliverable Number	D7.3	
Due Date of Delivery	31/05/2017	
Actual Date of Delivery	16/06/2017	
Dissemination Level	PP	
Rights	RECONASS Consortium	
Audience	<input type="checkbox"/> public <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> restricted <input type="checkbox"/> internal	
Revision	(none)	
Edited by		
Status	<input type="checkbox"/> draft <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consortium reviewed <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WP leader accepted <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Project coordinator accepted	

REVISION LOG

Version	Date	Reason	Name and Company
V 0.01	07/09/2016	First draft	Michael Markus (THW)
V 0.02	30/10/2016	Additions, metrics	Michael Markus (THW)
V 0.03	10/01/2017	Additions	Michael Markus (THW)
V0.04	24/03/2017	Additions	Michael Markus (THW)
V0.05	01/06/2017	Additions	Michael Markus (THW)
V1.00	16/06/2017	Final version after review	Evangelos Sdongos (ICCS)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DOCUMENT CONTROL PAGE.....	2
REVISION LOG.....	3
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	4
LIST OF FIGURES.....	7
LIST OF TABLES	8
ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS	9
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....	10
1. INTRODUCTION	11
1.1 GENERAL PROJECT OVERVIEW	11
1.2. RECONASS INTEGRATED SYSTEM EVALUATION.....	11
2. EVALUATION PROCESS.....	12
2.1. INTRODUCTION	12
2.2. THE RECONASS SYSTEM	12
2.3. USER REQUIREMENTS AND SYSTEM SPECIFICATION.....	12
2.4. USER REQUIREMENTS TYPES	13
2.5. EVALUATION PROCESS.....	13
3. END USER PARTICIPATION	15
3.1. SECOND END USER WORKSHOP IN WESEL 2016	15
3.2. THIRD END USER WORKSHOP AT ISCRAM 2017 CONFERENCE IN ALBI, FRANCE	16
3.2.1. Introduction	16
3.2.2. Workshop program - presentations.....	16
3.2.3. Workshop program – evaluation session	20
Functional suitability.....	21
Usability	22
Costs.....	22
Reliability.....	23
Additional functions needed.....	23
4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	25

4.1. NON-FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS	25
4.1.1. Functional Suitability	25
4.1.2. Usability	28
4.1.3. Reliability	30
CONCLUSIONS	32
REFERENCES	33
ANNEX A NON-FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS	34
A.1. FUNCTIONAL SUITABILITY	34
A.2. PERFORMANCE EFFICIENCY	35
A.3. COMPATIBILITY	36
A.4. USABILITY	38
A.5. RELIABILITY	40
A.6. SECURITY	42
A.7. MAINTAINABILITY	43
A.7. PORTABILITY	44
ANNEX B RESULTS OF THE FUNCTIONAL VALIDATION TESTS	46
B.1. COMMUNICATION GATEWAY	46
B.2. WIRELESS LOCAL POSITIONING TAGS	58
B.3. DATA COLLECTION HUBS	61
B.4. ACCELEROMETERS	64
B.5. WIRELESS STRAIN GAUGES	65
B.6. WIRELESS TEMPERATURE SENSORS	67
B.7. PCCDN TOOL	68
B.8. UAV EQUIPMENT	71
ANNEX C END USER STATEMENTS AT THE THIRD END USER WORKSHOP	75
ANNEX D RECONASS SCENARIO DESCRIPTION	78
INTRODUCTION	78
D.1. EARTHQUAKE	78
Scenario 1.1: Earthquake, single building, not collapsed	78

Scenario 1.2: Earthquake, single building, partially collapsed	78
Scenario 1.3: earthquake, multitude of collapsed buildings	79
D.2. EXPLOSION.....	81
Scenario 2.1: Structural damages after explosion	81
Scenario 2.2: Explosion with collapses and victims	81
D.3. FIRE	83
Scenario 3.1: fire, limited fire spread.....	83
A. Scenario 3.2: fully developed fire	83
ANNEX E: QUESTIONNAIRE 2017.....	86

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: RECONASS pilot scheme	13
Figure 2: RECONASS evaluation procedure.....	14
Figure 3: Scope of the End-User workshop from scope and introduction presentation, E. Sdongos	15
Figure 4 left: Dr. Angelos Amditis (left) and Evangelos Sdongos; right: Daniele Alberto Galliano Scientific Project Officer, European Commission Joint Research Centre.....	17
Figure 5: Tomer Kaplan, Senior Disaster Management Officer, Magen David Adom in Israel	17
Figure 6 left: presentation Michael Markus, THW, Germany and right: Åke Solfeldt, MSB, Swedenl	18
Figure 7: presentation Melanie Eckle, HeiGIT, Heidelberg University	18
Figure 8 left: presentation INACHUS, Dr. Angelos Amditis, ICCS and right: RECONASS, Evangelos Sdongos, ICCS	19
Figure 9 left: presentation Nicolas Riviere, OnNERA and right: Anita Schilling, DIGINEXT	19
Figure 10: 3 rd End-User workshop participants.....	20
Figure 11: damages in scenario 1.1	78
Figure 12: damages in scenario 1.2	79
Figure 13: damages in scenario 1.3	80
Figure 14: damages in scenario 2.1	81
Figure 15: damages in scenario 2.2	82
Figure 16: cross section of the column G20 at first floor and floor slab [7]	82
Figure 17: damages in scenario 3.1	83
Figure 18: damages in scenario 3.2	84
Figure 19: Northern portion of the impact area before collapse [9].....	85
Figure 20: Pentagon: Ring E after collapse [9]	85

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: The RECONASS system and its sub systems with responsible partners	12
Table 2: classification of the participants returning questionnaires	20
Table 3: participants' countries of operation	21
Table 4: End user comments related to functional suitability	21
Table 5: End user comments related to usability	22
Table 6: End user comments related to costs	22
Table 7: End user comments related to reliability	23
Table 8: End user suggestions for further developments	24
Table 9: Non-functional requirement types after [5]	25
Table 10: Non-functional requirements rating	25
Table 11: functional suitability evaluation results	27
Table 12: Usability evaluation results	28
Table 13: reliability evaluation results	30
Table 14: Non-functional requirement types after [5]	34
Table 15: Non-functional requirements: Functional suitability	35
Table 16: Non-functional requirements: Performance Efficiency	35
Table 17: Non-functional requirements: Compatibility	37
Table 18: Non-functional requirements: Usability	39
Table 19: Non-functional requirements: Reliability	41
Table 20: Non-functional requirements: Security	43
Table 21: Non-functional requirements: Maintainability	44
Table 22: Non-functional requirements: Portability	44
Table 23: Non-functional requirements: Additional	45
Table 24 - Communications Gateway Requirements vs Functional Test Result	47
Table 25 – Wireless Local Positioning Tags Requirements vs Functional Test Result	59
Table 26 - Data Collection Hubs Requirements vs Functional Test Result	61
Table 27 - Acceleration Sensor Requirements vs Functional Test Result	64
Table 28 - Wireless Strain Gauge Requirements vs Functional Test Result	66
Table 29 - Wireless Temperature Requirements vs Functional Test Result	67
Table 30 - PCCDN Tool Requirements vs Functional Test Result	69
Table 31 – UAV Equipment Requirements vs Functional Test Results	71

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

ABBREVIATION	DESCRIPTION
FR	Functional Requirement
GUI	Graphical User Interface
NFR	Non-Functional Requirement
WSN	Wireless Sensor Network

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report describes the evaluation process and results of the integrated RECONASS system. It includes a report about the final end-user workshop at the ISCRAM 2017 conference in Albi during which a final evaluation sessions was held as the workshop gathered a large variety of representatives address the entirety of the RECONASS end user group types.

After functional tests of the final integrated RECONASS system in the constructed building in Sweden, the present deliverable addresses the evaluation of user interaction and non-functional user requirements. During the whole RECONASS project, end users were consulted to create a user requirement driven system. At the end of the project, end users were involved again to evaluate the achieved RECONASS system.

The evaluation process is described in detail. The report focuses on the evaluation of non-functional requirements and the results of the end user participation in the project. After presentation of the integrated system in the third end user workshop, end users focused on the functional suitability, usability and reliability of the system.

The vast majority of consulted end users were impressed by the functionality of the system. The most discussions concerned the next necessary steps to implement such systems in pilot buildings to gather operational experience. Cost aspects played an important role for the end users. Further developments such as the development of mobile apps to interact with the system were encouraged.